

Draft summary
Reviewers' comments
Mailing-list discussion

NETLMM Security Threats on the MN-AR Interface

draft-kempf-netlmm-threats-00.txt

James Kempf, kempf@docomolabs-usa.com
Christian Vogt, chvogt@tm.uka.de

65th IETF, Dallas, TX, March 21, 2006

- **MN authentication:** Initial authentication of MN for network-access authorization
- **MN identifier:** String based on which MN authentication can be accomplished
- **Data-origin verification:** Sender verification for IP packets sent by a MN for network-access and accounting purposes
- **Data-origin identifier** (formerly called a "per-packet identifier"): String/property based on which MN can be identified for data-origin verification of its IP packets
- **Locator:** Destination address of an IPv6 data packet (This is not a definition specific to NETLMM.)
- Thanks to Julien for raising the need for a better terminology

1

- Problem: Spoofed data-origin ID
 - Attacker sends packets on behalf of victim
 - Attacker roams at a victim's costs
 - After initial MN authentication
- Data-origin verification can prevent this
 - May have to be bound to initial MN authentication
 - Only in MN-2-CN direction
- External protection against bogus packets from malicious CN

- Problem: Impersonation during DNA
 - Impersonator mimics victim during DNA
 - NETLMM redirects victim's packets to impersonator
 - \Rightarrow eavesdropping from off the path
- Limitation: Impersonator cannot forward packets to MN if MN is on different link
 - because impersonator uses same IP address as MN
 - Different than in Mobile IPv6, where impersonator's "c/o address" differs from victim's "home address"

- **Problem: Impersonation during DNA**
 - Similar to off-path eavesdropping, ...
 - Misuse of DNA
 - Redirection of victim's packets
 - ...but intended to cause DoS to victim
- **Limitation: Attacker must redirect packets to itself**
 - because NETLMM delivers packets to where a MN is believed to be seen
 - Again different than in Mobile IPv6

- **Problem: Rouge AR acts as man in the middle**
 - May eavesdrop on packets,
 - modify packets,
 - forward packets via a path outside NETLMM
- **Limitation: Return packets go through NETLMM**
 - Rouge AR may see return packets,
 - but may not be able to modify them
- **But: Rouge AR may act as NAT box**

- Problem: Vulnerabilities of ND6/DNA
 - Apply to NETLMM...
 - ...because NETLMM uses ND6/DNA
- SeND can prevent some attacks

- Problem: MN identifier associated w/ IP address
 - MN identifier leaks during MN authentication
 - Attacker associates identifier w/ IP address
 - Attacker then tracks victim's IP address
- Threat 1: Attacker on access link
 - Sends NS for victim
 - Address resolution or DAD
- Do ARs forward ND6 signaling to other links?
 - DAD requires this given that links have common prefix(es)
 - NA indicates that victim is inside NETLMM or on the same link

- Threat 2: Attacker btw. ARs and MAP
 - Attacker eavesdrops on NETLMM signaling
 - Most effective close to MAP
 - Encryption can prevent this
- Threat 3: IP address tells victim is inside NETLMM
 - Limitation: NETLMM prefix not very precise
 - Traceroute, too, may not produce meaningful information due to the MAP-AR tunnel

Some comments related to AR-
MAP interface.
This summary focuses on MN-AR
interface.

Reviewers' Comments Mailing List Discussion

- Data-origin ID may not show up in packets
 - Can be port of switch,
 - frequency slot,
 - time slot, etc.
- Identified by Julien

- Data-origin ID can be MN-MAP security context
 - MN perceives all ARs as a single, "virtual" MAP
- Identified by Gerardo

- Draft does not mention flooding of MN's IP address
 - Mentions only flooding of ARs or MAPs
- More dangerous for existing IP addresses
 - Bandwidth of MAP's Internet attachment
 - Routing-table look-up at MAP
 - Encapsulation at MAP (special in NETLMM)
 - Bandwidth w/in NETLMM domain
 - Decapsulation at AR (special in NETLMM)
 - Neighbor Cache look-up at AR
 - New Neighbor Cache entry at AR
 - ND6 signaling w/in access network
- Less dangerous for non-existing IP addresses
 - MAP discards packet after routing-table look-up
- Identified by Julien

See also RFC 3756, "IPv6 Neighbor Discovery (ND) Trust Models and Threats", section 4.3.2

- IGP security vs. NETLMM security unclear
 - Draft relates IGP security to NETLMM security, but...
 - routing protocol is hop-by-hop
 - NETLMM protocol is end-to-end (i.e., AR-to-MAP)
 - Clarify that in the draft
- Identified by Vidya